

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,176	09/10/2003	Kenneth J. Muderlak	11758-212	7598
75	10/15/2004		EXAM	INER
Adam D. Airhart			NICOLAS, FREDERICK C	
P.O. BOX 1039	ER GILSON & LIONE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	60610		3754	
			DATE MAILED: 10/15/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	/\
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	JU
	10/660,176	MUDERLAK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
4 -	Frederick C. Nicolas	3754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re 1.19by within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	October 2004.		
· <u> </u>	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the mo	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 51-74 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 51-65,73 and 74 is/ 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 66-70 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 71 and 72 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	are withdrawn from conside	ration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	,	, ,	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	en priority under 25 II C.C. S	110(a) (d) or (f)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Application of the second second (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/10/2003</u>. 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-15. 	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/660,176

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary Amendment

1. The preliminary amendment filed on 9/10/2003 and 1/15/2004 have been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 66-72 in the reply filed on 10/1/2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all the claims together prove efficient and not place a serious burden on the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because group I-IV of claimed invention are clearly not related in terms of their modes of operation, as described in the previous Office Action dated 6/25/2004. Due to unrelated features among the groups of claimed invention, which will require a separate search area for each group and thus, impose a burden in search and examination for the Examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

3. Claims 51-65,73-74 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 10/1/2004.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11

Application/Control Number: 10/660,176

Art Unit: 3754

F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 66-70 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 15-18 and 29-34 of U.S. Patent No. 6,467,651. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 15-18 and 29-34 of Patent No. 6,467,651 encompass all the limitations of the above noted claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 71-72 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,176

Art Unit: 3754

Conclusion

Page 4

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Watrous 1,229,556, Lewis 6,142,342, Humpert et al. 6,003,170, Vincent et al. 6,202,980, Pilolla 5,595,216, Cretu-Petra RE37,888, Hanna 6,119,901 and Bloom et al. 6,085,784 disclose other types of fluid dispensing system.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick C. Nicolas whose telephone number is (703)-305-6385. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y Mar, can be reached on 703-308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FN October 14, 2004

Frederick C. Nicolas

10/14/04

P≨tent Examiner Art Unit 3754